

## THE MOST POWERFUL QUESTION TO ASK A JUDGE AS IT RELATES TO JURISDICTION: EXCERPT FROM "*COURT SURVIVAL GUIDE*"

The only way to get proof is to go through the actual experience. If you have not been in front of a judge in chambers (in private) then you should pay close attention. You have no idea what is going on.

These administrative (admiralty) courts are the only ones that can give you proof of their own existence by your own observation. We generally say that you cannot claim to be “sovereign” until, **you have been in front of a judge and proven it to them in person**. Anyone who has not been in front of a judge and think only papers will do it, is due for a hard surprise.

Judges will not answer any real questions, especially under oath. Keep the issues only about *jurisdiction and the nature of the proceeding* as protected by the 6th amendment.

**Do not play around with the ALL CAPS NAME or avoiding going into the into the bar.**  
**When the name is called, say:** *I am here on that, and my God given full name is John Henry Doe (give your full given name of course), regarding the name on your papers as JOHN H. DOE* or whatever is on the papers and the NAME on the docket. This is ONLY a maritime matter about the contract and papers they have “docked” into the court.

**Then move onto:**

*Your Honor, I need some clarification to proceed. As I understand it, the Constitution grants this court 2 different criminal jurisdictions...*

*One is a criminal jurisdiction under a **Common Law**, and the other is a criminal action that constitutes a **condition of a contract**, under so called criminal aspects of Admiralty jurisdiction. Under which of these two jurisdictions does court intend to try this criminal action?*

Not wanting to answer this, the judge might just dismiss the case now, but most will still try to go ahead with it. His only choices now are to admit which jurisdiction applies, or to avoid answering. So stick to it and get an answer. Politely tell them you cannot proceed until you get this clarified.

If the Common Law criminal jurisdiction were to be declared, then you should win by default due to there being no sworn complaint by an actual injured party (a man or woman). PLUS you have not been informed of the *nature and cause*. These are two very important points.

**In most cases there is no qualified authenticated evidence at all, regarding** of your interfering with any man’s Life Liberty, or Property. And since there is no valid contract, as there must be in an admiralty court situation, the case should be dropped. BUT they will not want to

talk about these issues, unless they are obligated to. Therefore, the case must be dropped. Stay on point and keep asking questions.

If by some strange anomaly, the Admiralty jurisdiction were to be admitted, then you must be released because there is **no contract** ( a valid on), so prepared to follow through.

At this point, if the judge is wise, he or she will probably start squirming and try to avoid answering. He judge may say: *We aren't here for that, or we are her to enter a plea*, and don't fall for it. He or she may advise you to get a “licensed attorney” for so called legal advice.

Here is where you would make a standby asking more questions (you may not use all of these but have them handy depending how the conversation goes):

*Thank you, your Honor, but I don't think that you would want to violate your Oath of Office - so I really appreciate your help before proceeding...*

*You see I am **not** seeking legal advice. What I want to know is your legal intent. I simply need to know the nature and cause of this matter, thus - what kind of jurisdiction are we proceeding under?*

*Do I not have the right to speak myself 'in my own person' (not prose) without a licensed attorney?*

*And in order to intelligently defend myself, I **must know the jurisdiction** that this court is operating under. It seems the Rules of Criminal Procedure under a Common Law jurisdiction or is it Admiralty in nature?*

*Therefore, I need to know which jurisdiction you intend to try me under. In order for me to proceed with a decision about this case, getting counsel, or entering a plea etc. I need to know. So again, I am more than happy to enter a plea, **once I have these matters cleared up** and declared by the court. (this really ties them up)*

*Doesn't the 6th Amendment grant me the right to **know the jurisdiction being applied**, in the form of knowing the nature and cause? Isn't it your duty under your Oath of Office to inform me upon request?*

*I certainly do not want you to violate your Oath of office sir/mam. **But I do need clear declaration on the record regarding this jurisdictional question.** I do not believe any attorney can help me there because, I need to court to tell me directly. With all due respect, I require it **BEFORE I can make those choices of either a plea or an attorney.** So please answer the question, then I can proceed.*

The judge might dismiss the case here but will probably continue stalling or call a recess (to reset and test you again). He/she may try to reprimand or threaten you for not getting a licensed attorney. The judge will imply that only licensed attorneys have this information. They may read off some codes violate etc. **This is NOT an answer to the question.** Do not say you “understand” when they do anything. The judge is avoiding the answer, nothing else.

The you might say (be flexible depending on how this goes)

*"Thank you Your Honor, let the record of the court now show that I the accused, am being forced into a criminal action against me, while **being deprived of knowing the nature and cause** of the matter - as it relates to these jurisdictional question raised.*

***Aren't I entitled to clearly know and understand this under the 6th amendment, correct?***

*Let the record show the court now HAS FAILED in its duty to inform me of the nature and cause of the action. Furthermore, let the record also show that this court intends to bring this criminal action against me under a secret, undisclosed jurisdiction. Apparently, **this jurisdiction can only to be shown to licensed attorneys**, and not to the accused directly...do I have this correct?*

OOPS!

Here is where a lot of judges will dismiss the case for whatever phony excuse. But there are still some diehards asking for more embarrassment. If the judge is still onto the case here, he/she will have to come up with an answer. These are hot-potato questions.

He/she will probably say something huffy up like:

*This will be a “statutory jurisdiction” (which does not exist), and I hope you are satisfied!*

So now, you reply:

*Thank you, your honor. Let the record of this court then show that it intends to conduct a criminal action against me, under a what is called a “**statutory**” **jurisdiction**. But the problem that I have now is, I never heard of such a thing as a criminal action under statutory jurisdiction in the constitution, can you point out **where I can find that?***

*I would be happy to accept your claim Your Honor, if you could please tell me where I can find the published **Rules of Criminal Procedure under Statutory Jurisdiction**.*

Most judges are likely to give up and dismiss the case here, but some might still be hanging on.

If your case is not dismissed yet, then you might ask:

*Do you have a copy of this **statutory jurisdiction** in your office that I could borrow?*

*Where does this nature, cause, and jurisdiction information exist?*

*Do you know of a law library anywhere that has a copy of these rules?*

*Since I am speaking on my own behalf, isn't it your duty to specify which Rules of Criminal Procedure will be used? This is merely so that I may conduct a fair defense in a fair trial?*

*Are you not required then to tell me where I can access a copy of these **special rules**?*

This is where you will win, the judge must either lie or dismiss. At least you have created a **record for appeal** if they try to push past this. The Truth is that they have just committed you to a “statutory jurisdiction”, where *there is no such thing*. There are no such official published rules to use.

In some cases, the judge will make faces at the prosecutor, and the prosecutor will motion to dismiss, for some other phony reason. Either way, you win now or on appeal. So, preserve your record.

As a final attack: ask about any claims...

*Just one more thing (like Columbo) - Does any man or woman **have a claim against me** (and repeat 3 times across the court). There being no claim against me, **I motion the court to dismiss** for failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted. I would like an immediate ruling on the motion please. I would further like to know where to submit my bill for my time and expense of having to defend this spurious and frivolous case. How may I do that your honor? I do know the prosecutor likely required to bring a bond to indemnify me if I am harmed, may I obtain instructions on how to redeem that bond?*

Learn more at [www.youarelaw.org](http://www.youarelaw.org)