

How to Kill the SSN

- Posted by [Jaro](#) on August 11, 2014 at 2:30am

How to Kill the SSN

Here's a simple way to kill the SSN. Since Social Security is a TRUST, it needs a beneficiary in order to exist. So if you DISCLAIM any interest in the SS trust, then you'll no longer be a beneficiary, and without beneficiary there can be no trust, so the trust connected to your SSN, COLLAPSES.

And even the IRS recognizes that when one disclaims benefits, it's as if he never received any. The IRS code defines a disclaimer here:

<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/26/2518>

Here's what lawyers say about using disclaimer to terminate trust:

"NON-TAX REASONS FOR DISCLAIMERS

A. Generosity. i.e., Wealthy sibling does not need money and executes qualified disclaimer so inheritance goes to poorer sibling;

B. To terminate a trust through "acceleration". Section 2-7(d) of the Probate Act creates a presumption in favor of the acceleration of future interests.

Example: Mother sets up trust to pay income to Son for life, with remainder to Son's children who survive him. Son disclaims with two children then living. Unless the trust provides otherwise, **the disclaimer will cause the trust to terminate** and the two children will receive the property outright (even though Son may subsequently have other children, or one of the two children may die during Mother's lifetime)."

And of course if you're not the beneficiary of the SS trust, then you're not receiving any VALUE from the US, so the US doesn't get any RIGHTS, so there's no ADHESION contract that attaches to you (under the UCC). So they can't consider you a US employee or officer, who's subject to US Public Policy. I've put together two disclaimers, pick which one you like better:

[Disclaimer.doc](#)

How a Disclaimer Trust Works and Why Use One

1. To “**disclaim**” means a person chooses not to accept an inheritance (such as when the survivor is listed as a beneficiary in a will, **as a beneficiary in a trust**, or **as a beneficiary of a life insurance policy**, annuity, 401k plan, **or IRA**). If a person validly and timely “disclaims” an asset, then the IRS views it as though the person disclaiming never “owned” the asset (and the asset thus passes from the deceased person directly to the next person/charity/trust listed as the

successor beneficiary.)

2. **A person “disclaims” by signing a special document (a disclaimer) where you irrevocably state that you choose not to accept the assets specifically listed in the disclaimer document.** You can partially disclaim or you can fully disclaim.

Example 1: Wife Pam’s will states that all of her shares of Pepsi stock go to her husband Tom. Pam dies owning 3,000 shares of Pepsi stock. Tom can disclaim 22 shares, 100 shares, 1,542 shares, all 3,000 shares, or any other number of the shares.

<http://www.ronrunkle.com/estate%20disclaimer%20trust.htm>

Just make sure to write down the red number on the back of the SSN card, since you might need it later to access your Strawman account through the FedRes bank.



Comment by [Pete](#) on Monday

Actually killing the SSN might not be as desirable, or as advantageous as you may want. If you are ever pulled into a court matter, (as the "defendant",) how can you hand the court the "defendant" (your ALL CAPS LEGAL NAME on the SSN card,) if you have cancelled your SSN account?



Comment by [Mark Kay](#) on Monday
Yeah good point...



Comment by [Jim Sui Juris](#) on Monday

I believe the Legal person is created by the Live Birth Entry in the register evidenced by the certificate not the SSN. Hand your BC certificate in to the court to present the legal person?



Comment by [Rita May](#) on Monday
What if you needed a disability payment in the future?
Would you still be able to access it in this case?



Comment by [Jim Sui Juris](#) on Monday

@Rita either you are in or out of their system, if you want their privileges then you get their obligations. You cant give them back thier cake and eat it.



Comment by [Pete](#) on Monday

Jim, my COLB is upper and lower case. would not wk for me.



Comment by [robintl](#) on Monday

so one can "kill/terminate" SSN...what about being appointed executor or acting in office of executor? what are the effects and how is it achieved of the later? to hand over the ALL CAPS can one still surrender the BC?



Comment by [Rita May](#) on Monday

Ok thanks Jim & what about owning land?
If I sell the land then I am still in there system
Even if I sell & don't have the name, do I then no longer use a bank?
But what do You then do?
Can't really rent a place as you have no ID



Comment by [Pete](#) on Monday

no one can "kill" the number, only the acct associated with it.



Comment by [Pete](#) on Monday

Rita, make your own ID

Comment by [Jaro](#) on Monday

Rob, it makes sense that by getting the SSN, you're giving up control of your strawman trust and giving it to the gov't in return for their benefits. So unless and until you terminate the SSN, you won't be able to act as the executor of the SM trust/estate. It's been said that the SSN is a gateway to your Birth /SM trust, for the gov't to use. It certainly makes you a corporate person, since only US persons can get it.



Comment by [Jim Sui Juris](#) on Monday

Anecdotal evidence suggests even cops leave you alone once they check your ID and you are not a player in their system. I should have made clear that was with ID somebody had made themselves. I believe the BC still gives rise to the legal person however written on the CoLB or BC, there after it is summoned by the all caps name. Anything the Gov can set up and offer us, we can set up ourselves now we have smart phones and the internet, gone are the days when setting up a database and managing it was difficult or expensive.



Comment by [Jim Sui Juris](#) on Monday

How many of their benefits have you signed into? Driving License, marriage license, fishing license, SSN, using their debt notes (FRN) business license, incorporation? feel free to add to this list, you must unshackle yourself from these poor value benefits, you have the unlimited right to contract, but why enter ones when you don't come out ahead?



Comment by [robintl](#) on Monday

who would one send/notify disclaimer to? i remember reading that any corporation that denies a good or service because of refusal to provide a SSN may be sued for \$1,000.00 it may have been IRS or SSN manual



Comment by [David Merrill](#) on Monday

Stop saying it and writing it (the SSN). When somebody asks you for your SSN say, *I do not have a SSN.*

Whenever somebody says, *I know your SSN - it is 123-45-6789*, inform them they are committing forgery, maybe identity theft too.

I do not have a Social Security Number.

Regards,

David Merrill.



Comment by [David Merrill](#) on Monday

Just think about it - or you could just say - *I do not trust in Social Security.*



Comment by [Maximus](#) on Monday

Was not the S.S. implemented designed just for Federal Employees (with and ultimate-other agenda), and later changed so as the "people" could volunteer (voluntary servitude) into it ?

If you opt out , what happens, if you get out (LOL) can you get all your moneys back ?

TRUST - created by A-turn-on-me (attorney) falls within the jurisdiction of "statutory" (administrative - codes, etc.) and therefore are a subject -person, of the creator - UNITED STATES, does it not ?



Comment by [Maximus](#) on Monday

Who is a taxpayer and who is not, What is a "Taxable year"LOL



Comment by [Maximus](#) on Monday

Rights unalienable or civil or inalienable, which ones??

Does it matter.....He, He,He

Part of the system we are running from, is it not ?

Value = I.O.U.s called FRN's ?

And of course if you're not the beneficiary of the SS trust, then you're not receiving any VALUE from the US,

"NON-TAX REASONS FOR DISCLAIMERS

A. Generosity. i.e., Wealthy sibling does not need money and executes qualified disclaimer so inheritance goes to poorer sibling;

B. To terminate a trust through "acceleration". Section 2-7(d) of the ***Probate Act*** creates a presumption in favor of the acceleration of future interests.

Example: Mother sets up trust to pay income to Son for life, with remainder to Son's children who survive him. Son disclaims with two children then living. Unless the trust provides otherwise, **the disclaimer will cause the trust to terminate** and the two children will receive the property outright (even though Son may subsequently have other children, or one of the two children may die during Mother's lifetime)."



Comment by [Maximus](#) on Monday

Who and what is a person - what "TERMS" are they using?

To “**disclaim**” means a *person* chooses not to accept an inheritance

Comment by [corporeal](#) yesterday

Just a question if ssn belongs to strawman how is the living man tied to ssn aren't they two different parties?



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

I dont have a SSN either. When a cop asks me for my SSN, I truthfully reply, "I don't have one.". Then they say,"Everyone has one!!!", I reply back, "Nope, not everyone, because I don't." And I'm being 100% honest in my reply.



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

[corporeal](#), That's just it, they ARE two different parties. Look at it this way, I'm a man, and I have a legal "person, a "legal name" , but that doesn't necessarily make me a legal person, a legal name.



Comment by [Anna von Reitz](#) yesterday

Oh, yes, you can eat your cake, too.

They took over 7% of your net lifetime earnings. As a commercial contract matter, they pretend that they owe the Trust entity the money and benefits back as equitable consideration. When you collapse the Trust, make sure that your claim as priority secured party creditor is already in place and already cured. Here's what happens-- you collapse the STRAWMAN dba "JOHN QUINCY PUBLIC" and that immediately bumps down to the transmitting utility dba "JOHN Q. PUBLIC" and that immediately bumps down to "John Quincy Public" (State level) and thence to "John Q. Public" (State level) and finally, to you, the living man or woman. They've put so many layers on this scam that it is hard to do, but not impossible.



Comment by [Jim Sui Juris](#) yesterday

Good to know, Thank you.



Comment by [corporeal](#) yesterday

You mean like on a note that says, I promise to pay in return for a loan that I have received (when did I receive it?) I hadn't received it when they had me sign but it sure sounds like I did I'm not 100% yet but I think there referring to my strawman account and would sure like to put a nail in this ?



Comment by [Abe val](#) yesterday

What benefit does this give you? I missed something



Comment by [Rita May](#) yesterday

Ok, Pete I'm just learning thanks



Comment by [lola](#) yesterday


I recently cancelled my 2 young childrens' SSN via RM 00205.095 -- will this alone make CPS give up my children who were taken May 6, 2014 for trumped up reasons (unsubstantiated, no court order, no warrant, just took my children at 8:30PM in the middle of the night with 2 police officers accompanying the CPS kidnapper). I have also filed a UCC1 on myself, and UCC3 on each of my 2 children--does anyone know if this will work? i have not yet filed these docs with the court (they NEVER let me file anything!) PLEASE HELP, I noticed my 7yr daughter has signs of bruises all up and down her arm from a picture taken at the social service agency and her grandmother saw she had a nosebleed and now has lice in her hair. (my first post here)



Comment by [Maximus](#) yesterday

http://sovereignproject.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/CONNECTING_T...

Comment by [Maximus](#) yesterday



Comment by [lola](#) 52 minutes ago

try children a property only.....

some suggested listening >>> <http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=48361&am...>



Comment by [Jim Sui Juris](#) yesterday

@Lola listen to Karl Lentz his method has worked many times in getting children (property) back.



Comment by [SMM333](#) yesterday

Pete is that upper and lower case appearing on the application for birth cert? with the line for informant?



Comment by [lola](#) yesterday

Thank you Jim Sui Juris, i have worked with 2 great guys over at Karl Lentz, wrote many letters, but heard NOTHING back from them. I feel bottom line is, my 2 children have BC and SSN, and the CPS / Judges get federal & state funding from SS Title IV-D (fed matches child support payments \$1 for \$1), so I have cancelled the SSN / cards

associated to my childrens' persons / trust. I have not yet filed the SSN cancellation or my UCC1 & UCC3 with the court. I have written to the DA, the Attorney General, and heard NOTHING. I had contacted FBI, US Marshal, Police they tell me to hire a lawyer. Who is going to be the one held accountable???



Comment by [lola](#) yesterday

Thank you Maximus, will check out the link.



Comment by [Maximus](#) yesterday

there should be tow links



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

SMM333, i have no idea...I'd have to see the document myself to make an intelligent opinion.



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

lola, what do u mean that you cancelled 2 SSN's via RM RM 00205.095? I'd like more information on this. Thank you lola.



Comment by [Jaro](#) yesterday

Lola, what exactly did Karl Lentz tell you? Did you file any complaints? And did you get acknowledgement from the SSA that your kids don't have SSNs?



Comment by [lola](#) yesterday

Jaro, i never spoke directly to Karl Lentz but listened to many of his videos over & over, and his Talkshoe, and mike's Talkshoe--i was on one of them. when i turned in the SS cards to the SSA, i had them time & location stamp the letter i wrote--i also filed the cancellation thru one of their forms (making sure to cross out 'under penalty of perjury' and 'understand' replaced with 'know') and signed it 'All rights reserved'. i am not sure if i

can use the stamped acknowledgement as cancellation of SSN. i have not yet filed complaints against the CPS--i only wrote the initial letter that the CPS worker robbed me of my property, and never heard back--this has been 2 months now...

Comment by [lola](#) yesterday

Pete, their SSA code RM 00205.095 was given to me after a near-hour HOLD on the phone call with SSA.

THEN, i tried one SSA they told me you cannot cancel SSN and no one in her 15 years experience has ever cancelled SSN. THEN i went to another SSA, after i got the RM 00205.095 code, and they told me no such code exists in their computer system and they are all connected to the same network. so this code does not work. i told them the SS worker GAVE ME this code from HIS SUPERVISOR! i kept up my insistence to cancel my childrens SSN, and clerk finally had to go discuss this with her supervisor for about 25minutes. Then supervisor called me into office, told me once again no such code exists in ther system. i kept insisting to cancel SSN. finally, superviosr has me fill out form, i show her my childrens' SSN cards, and gave them to her--she told me she could not give me a receipt, they do not, i could wait til next week to talk to her supervisor. i told her i don't want the cards anymore, so she takes them.

GET THIS >>> after she takes my cards, she tells me that when most parents cancel their children's SSN, they usually do so RIGHT AFTER THEY WERE BORN, but why did i wait so long after my children were born to cancel?

GOTCHA! she inadvertently ADMITTED parents CAN CANCEL THEIR CHILDREN'S SSN !!!




Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

Kudos to you lola!! Actually I agree w/SSA, The SSN cannot be cancelled. It's like try to cancel your bank acct. YOU can't. You can only cancel (close) the account that it is tied to.



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

Thanks for your correspondence lola!



Comment by [lola](#) yesterday

Pete, you CAN cancel your children's SSN if they were fraudulently assigned under the EAB (Enumeration At Birth) Act--which my children were given. Adult's are a bit trickier to do...



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

ok lola....I C. Btw, what experiences do u have with child support, if any? thanks!



Comment by [lola](#) yesterday

Pete, did you have your children taken by CPS?



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

No. But child support claims I owe hefty child support in arrears. When my child was born, we did not get our child a COLB. Instead our child received an, "Affidavit of live birth."



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

lola, I'd appreciate your email to talk w/u privately.



Comment by [Jaro](#) yesterday

Pete, here's the RM 00205.095 code from 2002. It allows **deleting the applicant information from the SSN record** in SPECIAL SITUATIONS and when the child's SSN wasn't used. Don't know if that policy is still valid, though.

<http://www.nossn.com/images/rm00205.095-3.pdf>



Comment by [Pete](#) yesterday

To quote the RM 00205.095, "SSA does not change, void, or cancel SSNs.." WHAT BS!!!! The SSA changes numbers for ppl all - the- time! What a bunch of lying hypocritical bureaucratic ba\$ard\$!!!!

Please notice, I didnt break my own no "foul language" deal...no. I replaced the s's with \$'s.

Comment by [Jaro](#) yesterday

BTW, the Enumeration at birth program is implemented under GATT, where hospitals automatically issue SSNs to newborns, sometimes even if parents don't want that. And the RM 00205.095 was there to allow parents to opt out AFTER that happens.

1.1. Question: Do I have to get my newborn child a social security number?

Answer: No. There is no law that requires parents to get a social security number for their newborn children. The Social Security Administration was recently asked this very question. In their response **the Assistant Commissioner of Social Security stated:**

"The Social Security Act does not require a person to have a Social Security number (SSN) to live and work in the United States, nor does it require an SSN simply for the purpose of having one." Many hospitals automatically generate social security number application forms (Form SS-5) for newborns before they leave the hospital. This is done under the "enumeration at birth" program instituted under the GATT legislation. But hospital personnel are required to ask the parent if they want to get a SSN for their child. The parent can simply decline the service. Many people, such as those who give birth at home, never deal with this hospital paperwork at all.



Comment by [Jaro](#) yesterday

Lola, you should ask the SSA for a letter acknowledging that your children DON'T have SSN. The SSA routinely issues such letters, since some people don't have SSNs, but need a proof that they don't, because employers and other agencies just won't take your word for it. Once you have that letter, you can send copies to the CPS.

And there's nothing wrong with signing 'under penalty of perjury'. That just BONDS your declaration, else anyone could lie with impunity. You just should add to it "under the laws of United States of America", which makes it 'without the United States', while simply 'under penalty of perjury' means within United States.



Comment by [chioma del dios viviente](#) 22 hours ago

If you can partially disclaim, could you not claim certain portions of the "inheritance/contract/etc." and disclaim others?



Comment by [Scott](#) 18 hours ago

Social Security Administration

Office of Public Inquires

1100 West High Rise

6401 Security Blvd.

Baltimore, MD 21235

Re: Legal Notice of Resignation from Social Security and Demand for Rebuttal and formal lawful request for permanent full withdrawal from the Social Security System. Details concerning this withdrawal are written below:

Dear Mrs. B&*\$#%#t:

I am writing you this letter because I have never been eligible to participate in the social security program: (1) I never explicitly consented to participate; (2) I was lied to about the affects of participation; (3) I never maintained a legal domicile in the "United States" as defined in the current Social Security Act section 1101 (a) (2) and 42 U.S.C. 1301 (a) (2) as required by 20 CFR 422.104. I therefore permanently and irrevocably wish to terminate participation and any number that was unlawfully issued under the program and all contributions illegally withheld or sent in to be returned to me.

The Social Security Act is under 42 USC 666. My decision to withdraw is permanent, final and irrevocable.

Mrs. Barnhart, the SSN that was issued is(244-69-7229). Enclosed "SSA Form SS-5" is provided in accordance with 20 CFR 422.110(a), which stipulates that any change to an original SS application can only be accomplished using SSA Form SS-5.

SSA POMS Section GN 00206.100 necessitates those who have received benefits before withdrawal pay them back, as I have not received any benefits from you there is nothing to pay back under POMS GN 00206.100. If you feel this statement is made in error and you believe that I have, then please deduct what you believe was paid to me from the amount I paid you and send me the difference. Therefore, I demand that you pay back the unused portion of the premiums that were involuntarily paid to you through my compelled participation in the program. The enclosed "IRS Form 56" removes me

formally, legally, and officially from liability as the trustee of the trust and necessitates that your records respect said correspondingly to ensure that I do not receive any more notices or statements, or become the object of unlawful IRS collections directed at the Social Security Trust and its trustee.

I also desire that you designate the original SS-5 application as not eligible and reject the application with a correspondence on SSA letterhead. I now know the original SS-5 application to be fallacious, false, fraudulent and perjurious and hence in need of replacement, not change. I was not aware of this at the time of application but I am aware now and have a duty to notify you of this fact. The application was submitted for me as a minor, the age of majority had not been reached and my parents can't contract on my behalf.

My mother and father do not have a "Social Security number" as indicated in blocks 9 and 10 of the SS-5 form. 20 CFR 422.103(d) and the back of the SS Card both specifically express that the card and its associated number are the property of the SSA and *not* the holder and must be returned upon request. I declare without waiver that I am not and never have been a public officer in/of the U.S. government. Block 5, *the Citizenship block*, was unintentionally falsified. I am not and never have been a Statutory "national and citizen of the United States at birth" per 8 U.S.C. 1401, 26 U.S.C. 3121(e), or 26 CFR 1.1-1(c). I am a "non-citizen national" per 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(21) and 8 U.S.C. 1452. Such a standing converts to an "alien" throughout federal law. As the records on file I now know to be False, if you refuse to change them, then you are "causing to be furnished" false information as indicated on the form.

Causing me to unlawfully remain liable for the compulsions associated with a public office that I do not lawfully hold also constitutes criminal witness tampering under 18 U.S.C. 1512. By offering to let me remain in said illegitimate office knowingly it is felonious and illegal to do so. In compliance with 26 CFR 301.6109-1(1)(i), I request that in the records and databases of the IRS and the SS Administration, designate that the SS number associated with the civiliter mortuus, defunct, dead "trustee" be assigned its correct status as belonging to legally deceased "nonresident alien" who is not engaged in a "trade or business", which is described in 26 CFR 1.871-1(b). Treasury regulation 26 CFR 301.6109-1(g)(1)(i) states that; persons are entitled as a *matter of law*, to request that the IRS designate and classify the fact that a SS number belongs to a nonresident alien. This request is not consent or agreement that I own or control said number, or that assumed number refers to me personally or privately. The fact remains that the SSA owns the number and the trust is legally dead as it is without a consciousness currently, as the trustee has never filled his office consensually, lawfully, or knowingly.

I am a "nonresident alien" NON-individual not engaged in a "trade or business" as defined in 26 CFR 1.871-1(b)(1)(i), understand now that I always have been and expect to permanently act as one indefinitely into the future. The Treasury regulations as stated above affirms that nonresident aliens, myself included, are permitted to request that the IRS assign nonresident alien status to the SS number allocated.

I am a “stateless person” and a “transient foreigner” with a legal domicile not within any “State” as defined in 28 U.S.C. 1332(d), 4 U.S.C. 110(d), or 26 U.S.C. 7701(a)(10). All such “stateless persons” are not subject to the jurisdiction of any federal court as they are domiciled outside of the general jurisdiction of the federal government. Therefore, as I am not an “individual” nor do I consent to act in such a capacity, you may not lawfully use such a number against me.

My estate and the property thereof is a “foreign state” as described in 26 U.S.C. 7701(a) (31) and I live in a “foreign state”, as defined in 28 U.S.C. 1332(d), which is what all states of the Union are. Therefore, under 28 USC 1332, the federal government may not lawfully assert diversity of citizenship jurisdiction over me or my estate.

The following facts stipulated herein this correspondence govern my current and further legal relationship with the IRS, the Social Security Administration, and the U.S. Government. Furthermore, under FRCP 8(b)(6), a failure to deny these facts within 30 days of sending this document shall constitute an affirmative admission of their truthfulness. 26 U.S.C. 6065 requires that all denials are required to be signed under penalty of perjury by the person denying, and that the person denying must have a personal knowledge of the facts indicated. Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. 556(d) and 26 U.S.C. 7491 stipulate that you as the moving party declaring a position conflicting to the law documented herein have the burden of proving the facts and statements made herein are false. In accordance with the IRS Internal Revenue Manual (IRM) section 4.10.7.2.8 that states that IRS publications may not be cited to sustain a position your evidence may not come from any such IRS publication(s).

People can voluntarily end their participation in the program because the SSA offers the SSA Form 521 and procedures for quitting in the POMS Section GN 00206.000. *There is no such law that exists or legal authority to deny withdrawal.*

If there is; all I want is the statute and the implementing regulation that authorizes you to make such a claim that you do have legal authority to deny withdrawal.

As you, a “public servant” are here to serve the public and I am the public you are here to serve me. I reasonably expect you to follow the law and be just as responsible to answer to the law for you say as I myself would be.

Again, I am withdrawing from the Social Security Administration. If it is decided that you will not honor this request, I demand that you rebut this documentation point for point in full and that any responsive correspondence clearly establish an answer for your violations of law and your willful decision to exceed your lawful delegated authority.

Very truly yours,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT



Comment by [Pete](#) 18 hours ago

Scott, dude, simply tell them that you don't want to participate in their program anymore, that you know that participation IS voluntary, so you want to VOLUNTEER OUT, and that you want to close the account, and ask them how to close out the account. It's none of their dam business why you want to do so, and you owe them zero explanation. In other words, you are going into way-y-y tooo much detail with stuff that is none of their dam business. Dude, no disrespect intended, but you've got "diarrhea of the mouth" in your letter to them.



Comment by [Pete](#) 17 hours ago

Scott, only if they refuse, or resist to close out the account do you want to mention all that BS (law garbage) to them.

K.I.S.S. = Keep It Simply Scott!!